

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,713	10/29/2003	Gerald Matthew Rutten	15983/140	6465
23595	7590 06/06/2005		- EXAMINER	
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
SUITE 820	AVENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		3721	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/06/200	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· W	$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,713	RUTTEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1.     2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 7.     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-10 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paner No(s)/Mail Date 04212004805032004.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,713

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention I (claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 04/14/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that what "first and second pairs of pinch rollers" as referred by the examiner? Are they recited in claim 2?, and if so, how is that apparatus "different"?, nor the examiner indicated that any extra effort would be required for searching or examining both the apparatus and method claims. This is not found persuasive because the examiner believes as pointed out to the differences between the method and apparatus claims as disclosed in the method claim 2 of "moving the web of film through the first portion comprises passing the web of film between first and second pairs of pinch rollers" is not disclosed in the apparatus claims, which make it obvious that the method could be practiced by different apparatus such as one discloses the claimed pairs of pinch rollers and that would require more search and searching in different areas than the cited apparatus claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,713

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 1-3 and 6 directed to the same invention as that of claims 1-3 of U.S. patent 5,771,662 of commonly assigned assignee (Douglas Machine Company). The issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of this application.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, and 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sameh H. Tawfik Patent Examiner Art Unit 3721

· ST.